

MARKING CRITERIA AND STUDENT FEEDBACK

MODULE: Research Methods and Project

ASSIGNMENT: Viva

STUDENT: Deion Atkinson

DATE:

This section details the assessment criteria. The extent to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied			Marks available	Marks awarded
1. Understanding and Analysis: Defence of work through technical questioning				
70-79%: Excellent knowledge and understanding of the main concepts and key theories. Clear awareness of challenges to established views and the limitations of the knowledge base.			90	65.3
Clear response to question 1 indicated a good understanding of the results gained through the project.				
The argument for the GP2 model could have been more convincing. Not sure this was required rather than using the MCR models. It's a shame ABA testing was not completed to prove consistent results. Considered responses to technical questions.				
2. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice (Summary Poster)				
Attributes in professional practice: individual and collaborative working; deployment of appropriate media; presentation and organisation.				
60-69%: Can communicate well, confidently and consistently in a suitable format. Work is coherent and fluent and is well structured and organised.			10	6
Poster is satisfactory, but the results could have been presented more effectively. You could have also included a table of the current suspension ranges and coefficients/frequencies to contextualise the vehicle.				
Theoretical Steering Pct and understeer equations not obvious to outside observer. Further explanation of the graphs required in places. Setups not labelled on graph 7				
Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		71.3	%
	Up to one-week late			
	Over one week late			